

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

GEDIAL AUGUSTIS		wasnington, D.		
SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
06/717	042 04/01/85	HAGENBUCH	l	16842

LEYDIG, VOIT, OSANN, MAYER & HOLT, LTD. ONE IEM PLAZA, STE. 4600 CHICAGO, IL 60611 EXAMINER

MATTSON > B

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

234 ZO

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERV	TEW SUMMARY RECORD	fi 1 divin dene
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		08/02/88
"BRIAN MATTSON, EXAMINER	(3)	
12 JOHN CONKLIN, ATTY. FOR APPLICANT	(4)	•
Date of interview June 1, 1988		
Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant	applicant's representative).	•
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:		
Agreement was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in qu	uestion.	
Claims discussed: Chims 2-33, 35-43, 51, 53		15-904 167-1NL
) W 62,603 10 02) W (0)	0-05 4 102-100.
Identification of prior art discussed:		
		
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was	s reached, or any other comments: Discus	\$ed
applicant's proposed amondr	nent to overcome rev	ortion
under 12-2d paragraph.	O O	
4 3 m		
		·
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if availattached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the	ilable, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof mus	claims allowable must be st be attached.)
Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrar NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month to	ry, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE L	AST OFFICE ACTION IS
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the su	/	
☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attac requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and sinc response requirements of the last Office action.	thments) reflects a complete response to each of the ethe claims are now all wable, this completed form	objections, rejections and is considered to fulfill the
	Brian 110	1000
PTOL-413 (rev. 1-81)	Examiner's Signature	

ORIGINAL FOR INSERTION IN DIGUT HAND EL